

www.sensoria-ist.eu

Manual for Using the UMC Model of the Automotive Case Study

Author(s): Maurice H. ter Beek and Franco Mazzanti (ISTI-CNR)

Date of preparation: March 12, 2010 Revision: final Dissemination level: PU

Contract start date: September 1, 2005 Duration: 48 months Project coordinator: Martin Wirsing (LMU) Partners: LMU, UNITN, ULEICES, UWARSAW, DTU, PISA, DSIUF, UNIBO, ISTI, FFCUL, UEDIN, ATX, TILab, FAST, BUTE, S&N, LSS-Imperial, LSS-UCL, MIP, ATXT, CIR

Integrated Project funded by the European Community under the "Information Society Technologies" Programme (2002—2006)



Executive Summary

We show how to use a UMC model of the on road assistance scenario of SENSORIA's Automotive case study, described in [2], and verify properties formulated in the service-oriented temporal logic SocL.

Contents

1	UMC 1.1 Selecting a UMC model	4 4 6
2	Verification with UMC 2.1 Interpreting a Counterexample	7 8
A	The Full UMC Model of the On Road Assistance Scenario	10

1 UMC

UMC [1, 4] is an on-the-fly model checker (its current prototype can be experimented via a web interface [6], which also includes a user guide [5]). UMC allows the efficient verification of SocL formulae over a set of communicating UML state machines. SocL [4] is an event- and state-based, branching-time, efficiently verifiable, parametric temporal logic that was specifically designed to capture peculiar aspects of services. UMC's web interface is depicted in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: UMC web interface.

1.1 Selecting a UMC model

By selecting "Model Definition ... " in the Commands Menu on the left, one obtains Fig. 2.



Figure 2: Selecting a model.

Subsequently clicking "Select one of the examples ..." brings one to Fig. 3 (it might be necessary to use the scrollbar to select the UMC model <code>00-automotive.umc</code>).



Figure 3: Selecting an example model.

Clicking "Open Selected Example" leads to Fig. 4: the UMC model of the On road assistance scenario of the Automotive case study.

UMC v3.6w	Class Car is		
Commands Menu New Model Load Current Model Under Current Model	<pre>Signals: OUTGOING / INCOMING SIGNALS requestCardCharge(cust,cc,amount) chargeResponseGX(chargeID:Token); chargeResponseGX(chargeID:Token); chargeResponseGX(chargeID) bankrevokeOK requestGarage(cust,loc) garageResponseGX(garageData:Token); garageResponseGX(garageData:Token); garagerevokeOK requestTowTruck(cust,loc) towResponseGail towrevokeOK requestRentCar(cust,loc) rentResponseGail rentResponseGail rentResponseGail rentResponseGX(rentData:Token); rentResponseGX(</pre>	 Period Provide Pr	
	INTERNAL SIGNALS		

Figure 4: UMC model of On road assistance.

Using the scrollbar on the right, one can inspect this UMC code.

1.2 Experimenting with a UMC model

To start experimenting the UMC model, one must select "Load Current Model" in the Commands Menu on the left, resulting in Fig. 5. This figure shows the model's classes and active objects, as well as its current (initial) configuration.





The latter can be inspected further by clicking "(show details \dots)", which results in Fig. 6 (using the scrollbar on the right details of variables, active states, event queues and possible evolutions of all active objects can be consulted).

UMC v3.6w	The System Classes The Active System The Current Config The Abstract State	are: Cal Road Assistance Bank Objects are: carl:Car bank I:Bank ral:RoadAssistance guration is carl.(show.details) Labels of Configuration C1 are:		
Commands Menu	Commands Menu The Possible Evolutions From Configuration C1 are: 1) C1 -> C2 { request(engineFailure,car1) } /* */			
Edit Current Model		Configuration C1		
	Tokens and Interfaces	OUT ERR ccId1 amount1 list1 gps1 bankopID garageData1 towData1 rentData1		
Minimize (FullTrace) Welcome Quit	carl (Car)	Vars: loc=null, chargedID=null, garageID=null, rentID=null, towID=null, list=null, cctd=cctd1, amount=amo bank=bankl Active States: Top.CarComponents.Engine.e1, Top.CarComponents.Orchestrator.o1, Top.CarComponents.Lo Top.CarComponents.VehicleCommunicationGatewayProcedures.GarageComm.g1, Top.CarComponents.VehicleCommunicationGatewayProcedures.GarageComm.g1, Top.CarComponents.VehicleCommunicationGatewayProcedures.RentComm.n1, Top.CarComponents.VehicleCommunicationGatewayProcedures.BankComm.b1 Queue: [] Possible System Evolutions: C1 ~> C2 {car1:/car1.engineFailure}	vunt I, theRA=null, calDiscovery.11,	
		Fireable Transitions: e1 -> e2 {-/ engineFailure}		4
	UCTL			
	true		Check Explain The the Formula Result	

Figure 6: A model's details.

Another possibility provided in Fig. 5 is to perform an evolution step by clicking on "C1 --> C2", which results in Fig. 7 (which can be 'repeated' in the obvious way to perform more evolution steps).

UMC v3.6w	The System Classes are: Car RoadAssistance Bank The Active System Objects are: carl Car bank I: Bank ral: BoadAssistance
Commands Menu New Model Edit Current Model	The Active System objects are: can rear balanch balanc
Explore the Model Minimize (FullTrace) Welcome Quit	1) <u>C2> C3 {}</u> /* */
	UCTL true Check Explain the the the the the the the the the the

Figure 7: An evolution step.

Yet another possibility in Fig. 5 is to create a minimized abstract evolution graph of the model by clicking on "Minimize (FullTrace)", which results in Fig. 8.

UMC v3.6w	Abstract Minimized Model Evolutions Chart		
	Set the graphics frame dimension to: 1X (1.5X) (3X) (6X) (12X)		
	ę		
Commands Menu			
New Model Edit Current Model			
Explore the Model	and the second se		
	Junear and Junear		
Minimize (FullTrace) Welcome	Preventurarii		
Quit			
	inclusion of a difference data (in the second		
0	View the graph in DOT format or as a IPG picture or as plain SVG data.		
	The following graph shows an abstract view of the model evolutions. In particular it shows a complete-trace minimization of built accordingly to the current observation criteria.	the original evolutions tree	4
	UCTL		Ť
	true	Check Explain	
		Formula Result	

Figure 8: A minimized abstract evolution graph.

2 Verification with UMC

Two examples show how to use UMC to verify SocL formulae over the model of Sect. 1 (described in detail in [2]). More properties that we have verified, inspired by the Patterns of service properties listed in [4], can be found in [2]. As a first example, we verify that the Bank service is *responsive*, i.e. it guarantees a response to each received request. To this aim, it suffices to verify the SocL formula

AG [request(charge, *)] A [true {true} U {response(charge, *) or fail(charge, *)} true],

which states that each time action requestCardCharge takes place, always at a certain moment action chargeResponseOK or chargeResponseFail takes place.¹ More intuitively: If the Car requests the Bank to charge a credit card, then the Bank will surely reply with a notification of either a successful or a failed attempt to charge the credit card.

Verifying the above formula can be done by inserting it in the field labelled UCTL (of which SocL is a specialized version) on the lower side, which by default contains the formula true, and subsequently pushing the button "Check The Formula" on the lower right side. This results in Fig. 9, i.e. the above formula is TRUE. Note that a UMC model needs to be loaded before verifying properties, so the UCTL field only appears from Fig. 5 onward.



Figure 9: Result (true) of a verification.

2.1 Interpreting a Counterexample

As a second example, we now repeat the above operations to verify whether the Garage service is *reliable*, i.e. whether it guarantees a *successful* response whenever it accepts a request (for this service). To this aim, it suffices to verify the SocL formula

AG [request(garage, *, *)] A [true {true} U {response(garage, *, *)} true],

which states that each time action <code>requestGarage</code> takes place, always at a certain moment action <code>garageResponseOK</code> takes place.² More intuitively: Reservation requests from Car to Garage are always followed by a notification of success.

Doing so results in Fig. 10, i.e. this formula is FALSE. Note that this is not surprising: The Garage service might be temporarily unable to provide the requested service (so it sends the unsuccessful response garageResponseFail). Note that the Garage service is responsive, i.e. a formula similar to the formula verified earlier for the Bank service does hold also for the Garage service.

¹Note that for the sake of readability we abbreviated the actions we used in the formulae in [2]: requestCardCharge = request(charge,*), chargeResponseOK = response(charge,*) and chargeResponseFail = fail(charge,*)

²Again, note that for the sake of readability we abbreviated the actions used in the formulae in [2]: requestGarage = request(garage,*,*) and garageResponseOK = response(garage,*,*)

UMC v3.6w	The Formula:
Pine	AG [request[garage,*,*)] AF {response[garage,*,*)} true
and the second	is FALSE
	(states generated= 35, computations fragments generated= 40)
Commands Menu	
New Model	
Edit Current Model	
Explore the Model	
Minimize (FullTrace)	
Welcome	
Quit	
	UCTL
	AG [request(garage,*,*)] A [true {true} U {response(garage,*,*)} true]
	Check Explain The the Formuta Result

Figure 10: Result (false) of a verification.

Pushing the button "Explain the Result" on the lower right side results in Fig. 11, displaying the counterexample produced by UMC. The node names are hyperlinks which, when followed, allow one to observe all details of that configuration. Furthermore, while abstract transition labels are always fully displayed on the right-hand side of the transitions, their corresponding underlying ground events (which are useful for understanding what exactly is happening in the ground model's evolutions) are shown as dynamic tooltips that appear when the cursor is moved over the "/*...*/" regions. Note that the explanation returned by UMC has the form of a (partial) proof, in the sense that not only the *witnessing* model fragment but also the subformulae holding in the various substates, are put in evidence; moreover, only what are considered the *useful* parts of the explanation are shown.

UMC v3.6w	The formula: AG (request(garage,*,*)) AF (response(garage,*,*)) true is FOUND_FALSE in State C1 This happens because : $C1 \rightarrow C2$ (request(engineFailure,carl)) /* */ $C2 \rightarrow C3$ /* */ $C3 \rightarrow C4$ /* */ $C4 \rightarrow C6$ (request(charge,carl)) /* */ $C6 \rightarrow C7$ /* */ $C6 \rightarrow C7$ /* */ (* carl:bankl.requestCardCharge(carl.ccld1.amount1) */	
Explore the Model Minimize (FullTrace) Welcome Quit	$\begin{array}{c} (27 \rightarrow C10)^{4} \dots ^{4}/(216)^{4} \dots ^{4}/(216)^{4} \dots ^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(216)^{4}/(21$	
	The formula: /request(garage,**)/ /AF (response(garage,**)):rue is FOUND_FALSE in State C27 UCTL AG [request(garage,*,*)] A [true {true} U {response(garage,*,*)} true] Check Explain the Formula Result	▲

Figure 11: Counterexample of a formula.

References

- [1] M.H. ter Beek, A. Fantechi, S. Gnesi and F. Mazzanti, A state/event-based model-checking approach for the analysis of abstract system properties. In *Science of Computer Programming*, 2010.
- [2] M.H. ter Beek, S. Gnesi, N. Koch and F. Mazzanti, Formal Verification of an Automotive Scenario in Service-Oriented Computing. In *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE'08), Leipzig, Germany, ACM Press, New York, 2008, 613–622.*
- [3] M.H. ter Beek, F. Mazzanti, and S. Gnesi, CMC–UMC: A Framework for the Verification of Abstract Service-Oriented Properties. In *Proceedings of the 24th Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing (SAC'09), Honolulu, Hawaii*, USA, ACM Press, New York, 2009, 2111–2117.
- [4] S. Gnesi and F. Mazzanti, An Abstract, on the Fly Framework for the Verification of Service Oriented Systems. In [7], 2010.
- [5] F. Mazzanti, Designing UML models with UMC. Technical Report 2009-TR-43, ISTI-CNR, 2009.
- [6] UMC: http://fmt.isti.cnr.it/umc/.
- [7] M. Wirsing and M. Hölzl (Eds.), Rigorous Software Engineering for Service-Oriented Systems— Results of the SENSORIA project on Software Engineering for Service-Oriented Computing, Springer, 2010.

A The Full UMC Model of the On Road Assistance Scenario

We append the full UMC model used in this paper and in [2]. It is listed among the example models on the UMC web interface [6] as $00-automotive.umc.^3$

Once loaded, this UMC model consists of 501 states. Furthermore, its system classes are Car, Bank, and RoadAssistance, while its active system objects are car1: Car, bank1: Bank, and ra1: RoadAssistance.

UMC allows its users to specify an *observation mode* of the system under analysis, in which one explicitly specifies a set of *hiding* and *renaming* rules that precisely define the structural information or events one is interested to observe, possibly reshaping them to fit a standard format, hiding the rest (cf. [1] for more details). This is done by adding to the model's UMC encoding an Abstractions section containing this list of abstraction rules. The abstractions that are relevant for the fomulae verified in Sect. 2 are as follows:

```
Abstractions {
    ...
    Action: $1:requestCardCharge -> request(charge,$1)
    Action: $1.chargeResponseOK -> response(charge,$1)
    Action: $1.chargeResponseFail -> fail(charge,$1)
    Action: $1.requestGarage($2,$3) -> request(garage,$1,$2)
    Action: $1:$2.garageResponseOK -> response(garage,$2,$1)
    Action: $1:$2.garageResponseFail -> fail(garage,$2,$1)
    ...
}
```

³In [3] we used a different UMC model of the On road assistance scenario of the Automotive case study, which is instead listed among the example models on the UMC web interface [6] as 00-automotive-SAC09.umc

Class Car is

OUTGOING / INCOM	ING SIGNALS	
	<pre>(cust,cc,amount) rgeID.Token)</pre>	
chargeResponseFail;	1901D.10x011,,	response from Bank
revokeCardCharge(cust,chargeID)	cancel to Bank
bankrevokeOK	_	response from Bank
roguestCarage (gus	+ log)	request to CarageService
<pre>IequestGalage(Cus garageResponseOK(gar</pre>	ageData.Token):	request to Galageservice
garageResponseFail	agebaca. roken, ,	response from GarageService
revokeGarage(cust	,garageData)	cancel to GarageService
garagerevokeOK		responde from GarageService
 requestTowTruck(c	ust,loc)	request to TowTruckService
towResponseOK(towDat	a:Token);	response from TowTruckServic
towResponseFail		response from TowTruckServic
towrevokeOK		responde from TowService
requestRentCar(cu	st,loc)	request to Rent
rentResponseOK(rentD	ata:Token);	from RentalCarService
rentResponseFail		from RentalCarService
rentrevokeOK		response from RentService
INTERNAL SIGNALS		
 engineFailure; 	Engine	-> Orchestrator
reqLoc;	Orchest	trator -> GPS
respLoc(mygps:Token)	; GPS ->	Orchestrator
found(mylist:Token); notFound; 	LocalDi	scovery -> Orchestrator Scovery -> Orchestrator
choose; chosen(myRA:RoadAssi	Orches stance) Reasor	strator -> Reasoner ner -> Orchestrator
 bankcharge	Orchestrator	-> VehicleCommunicationGateway
bankOK	VehicleCommun	nicationGateway -> Orchestrator
bankFail	VehicleCommun	nicationGateway -> Orchestrator
bankrevoke 	Orchestrator	-> VehicleCommunicationGateway
orderGarage	Orchestrator	-> VehicleCommunicationGateway
garageOK	VehicleCommur	nicationGateway -> Orchestrator
garageFail	VehicleCommun	nicationGateway -> Orchestrator
garagerevoke	Orchestrator	-> VehicleCommunicationGateway
orderTowTruck	Orchestrator	-> VehicleCommunicationGateway
towOK	VehicleCommun	nicationGateway -> Orchestrator
towFail	VehicleCommun	nicationGateway -> Orchestrator
towrevoke 	unused	
rentCar	Orchestrator	-> VehicleCommunicationGateway
failedRentCar	VehicleCommur	nicationGateway -> Orchestrator
carRented	VehicleCommur	nicationGateway -> Orchestrator
rentrevoke	Orchestrator	-> VehicleCommunicationGateway
rs:	used by Orche	estrator
loc: Token := null;		
<pre>loc: Token := null; chargedID: Token; garageID: Token; rentID: Token;</pre>		
<pre>loc: Token := null; chargedID: Token; garageID: Token; rentID: Token; towID: Token;</pre>		
<pre>loc: Token := null; chargedID: Token; garageID: Token; rentID: Token; towID: Token; list: Token := null;</pre>		
<pre>loc: Token := null; chargedID: Token; garageID: Token; rentID: Token; towID: Token; list: Token := null; ccId: Token := ccId1</pre>	;	

```
bank: Bank := bank1;
State Top =
 CarComponents(
    Engine[ e1, e2] ,
     Orchestrator[
        01,
        EnablingPhase(
           CardCharge[o2, o3, o4, final],
           FindServices[06, 07, 08, 09, final]),
        ServiceSelection.
        OrderServices(
           011,
           012,
           o13,
           TowAndCar(
              OrderTow[
                 014,
                 015.
                 CompensateAll(
                   CompensateBank[x1, x4],
                    CompensateGarage[x2, x5],
                    CompensateRent[x3, x6]),
                 finall
              OrderCar[017, 018, 019, final]
              )),
        final] ,
     LocalDiscovery[11] ,
     GPS[p1] ,
     Reasoner[r1] ,
     VehicleCommunicationGateway[
        Procedures(
           GarageComm [g1, g2, g3, g4, g5, g6],
           TowComm [t1, t2, t3, t4, t5, t6],
           RentComm [n1, n2, n3, n4, n5, n6],
           BankComm [b1, b2, b3, b4, b5, b6]
       )]
     )
State RentComm Defers rentrevoke
State BankComm Defers bankrevoke
Transitions:
-- Engine
 e1 -> e2 {- / engineFailure}
-- Orchestrator
 ol -> EnablingPhase {engineFailure}
  --- CardCharge
  o2 -> o3 {- / self.bankcharge} -- activate bank calling procedure
  o3 -> o4 {bankFail}
  o3 -> CardCharge.final {bankOK}
  --- FindServices
  o6 -> o7 {- / self.reqLoc}
                                                  -- call GPS
  o7 -> o8 {respLoc(mygps) /
                                                  -- response from GPS
            loc := mygps; self.findServ(mygps) } -- call LocalDiscoveryService
  o8 -> o9 {notFound / bankrevoke}
                                                  -- FAILURE with bank compensation
  o8 -> FindServices.final {found(mylist:Token)}
                                                        -- respond from local discovery
                                                          -- activate reasoner
  EnablingPhase -> ServiceSelection {- / self.choose}
  ServiceSelection -> OrderServices
          {chosen(myRA) /
                                                   -- response from reasoner
             theRA := myRA}
  --- OrderServices
  o11 -> o12 {- / self.orderGarage}
                                                  -- activate garagecomm
  ol2 -> ol3 {garageFail / self.bankrevoke}
                                                  -- FAILURE with bank compensation
  o12 -> TowAndCar {garageOK}
  --- OrderTow
  o14 -> o15 {- / self.orderTowTruck} -- activate towcomm
  o15 -> OrderTow.final {towOK}
  o15 -> CompensateAll {towFail}
                                     -- FAILURE with bank and garage and rent compensation
  -- CompensateAll
  x1 -> x4 {- / self.bankrevoke}
x2 -> x5 {- / self.garagerevoke}
```

x3 -> x6 {- / self.rentrevoke} --- OrderCar o17 -> o18 {- / self.rentCar} o18 -> OrderCar.final {carRented} o18 -> o19 {failedRentCar} OrderServices -> Orchestrator.final -- LocalDiscovery 11 -> 11 {findServ(mygps) / self.found(list1)} -- uses loc not modelled l1 -> l1 {findServ(mygps) / self.notFound} -- Reasoner r1 -> r1 {choose / self.chosen(ral)} -- GPS p1 -> p1 {reqLoc / self.respLoc(gps1)} -- GarageProcedures g1 -> g2 {orderGarage / theRA.requestGarage(self,loc)} -- call external garage service g2 -> g3 {garageResponseOK(garageData) / garageID := garageData; self.garageOK} g2 -> g4 {garageResponseFail / self.garageFail} -- response Fail -- compensations g1 -> g6 {garagerevoke} g3 -> g5 {garagerevoke / theRA.revokeGarage(self, garageID)} -- cancel external request -- response from service g5 -> g6 {garagerevokeOK} g4 -> g6 {garagerevoke} -- TowProcedures t1 -> t2 {orderTowTruck / theRA.requestTowTruck(self,loc)} -- call external garage service t2 -> t3 {towResponseOK(towData) / towID := towData; self.towOK} -- response OK t2 -> t4 {towResponseFail / self.towFail} -- response Fail t1 -> t6 {towrevoke} t3 -> t5 {towrevoke / theRA.revokeTowTruck(self,towID)} -- cancel external request t5 -> t6 {towrevokeOK} -- response from service t4 -> t6 {towrevoke} -- RentProcedures n1 -> n2 {rentCar / theRA.requestRentCar(self,loc)} -- call external rental service n2 -> n3 {rentResponseOK(rentData) / rentID := rentData; self.carRented} -- response OK n2 -> n4 {rentResponseFail/ self.failedRentCar} -- response Fail -- compensations n1 -> n6 {rentrevoke} n3 -> n5 {rentrevoke / theRA.revokeRentCar(self,rentID)} -- cancel external request n5 -> n6 {rentrevokeOK} -- response from service n4 -> n6 {rentrevoke} -- BankProcedures b1 -> b2 {bankcharge / bank.requestCardCharge(self, ccId, amount)} -- call external service b2 -> b3 {chargeResponseOK(chargeID) / chargedID := chargeID; self.bankOK} -- response OK b2 -> b4 {chargeResponseFail / self.bankFail} -- response Fail -- compensations b1 -> b6 {bankrevoke} b3 -> b5 {bankrevoke/ bank.revokeCardCharge(self, chargedID) } -- cancel external service b5 -> b6 {bankrevokeOK} -- response from service b4 -> b6 {bankrevoke} end Car Class Bank is Signals: requestCardCharge(cust:Car, cc:Token, amount:Token); -- replies: cust.chargeResponseOK(chargeID) cust.chargeResponseFail revokeCardCharge(cust:Car, chargeID:Token); -- replies: bankrevokeOK State Top = s1 Transitions: s1 -> s1 { requestCardCharge(cust,cc,amount) / cust.chargeResponseOK(bankopID) } s1 -> s1 { requestCardCharge(cust,cc,amount) / cust.chargeResponseFail } s1 -> s1 { revokeCardCharge(cust,chargeID) / cust.bankrevokeOK } end Bank

```
Class RoadAssistance is
```

```
Signals:
  ----- GARAGE SERVICES -----
  requestGarage(cust:Car,loc:Token);
   -- replies: garageResponseOK(garageData) to car
                garageResponseFail
                                             to car
   ___
   revokeGarage(cust:Car,garageData:Token);
   -- replies: garagerevokeOK
   ----- TOWTRUCK SERVICES --
  requestTowTruck(cust:Car,loc:Token);
   -- replies: towResponseOK(towData) to car
   ___
                towResponseFail
                                       to car
   ___
   revokeTowTruck(cust:Car, towData:Token)
   -- replies: cust.towrevokeOK
   ----- RENTAL SERVICES ------
   requestRentCar(cust:Car,loc:Token);
   -- replies: rentResponseOK(rentData) to car
   ___
                rentResponseFail
                                         to car
   ___
   revokeRentCar(cust:Car, rentData:Token)
   -- replies: cust.rentrevokeOK
State Top = Services
State Services = GarageService / TowTruckService / RentalCarService
 State GarageService = g1
State TowTruckService = t1
State RentalCarService = r1
Transitions:
  -- garage services
  g1 -> g1 { requestGarage(cust,loc) / cust.garageResponseOK(garageDatal) }
  q1 -> q1 { requestGarage(cust,loc) / cust.garageResponseFail }
  g1 -> g1 { revokeGarage(cust,garageData) / cust.garagerevokeOK }
   -- tow truck
  t1 -> t1 { requestTowTruck(cust,loc) / cust.towResponseOK(towDatal) }
  t1 -> t1 { requestTowTruck(cust,loc) / cust.towResponseFail }
  t1 -> t1 { revokeTowTruck(cust,towData) / cust.towrevokeOK }
   -- rental
  r1 -> r1 { requestRentCar(cust,loc) / cust.rentResponseOK(rentData1) }
  r1 -> r1 { requestRentCar(cust, loc) / cust.rentResponseFail }
  r1 -> r1 { revokeRentCar(cust,rentData) / cust.rentrevokeOK }
end RoadAssistance
Objects:
_____
bankopID, rentDatal, garageDatal, towDatal, ccIdl, amountl, gpsl, listl: Token;
car1: Car;
bank1: Bank;
ral: RoadAssistance
Abstractions {
Action: $1:engineFailure -> request(engineFailure,$1)
Action: $1:requestCardCharge -> request(charge,$1)
Action: $1.chargeResponseOK -> response(charge,$1)
Action: $1.chargeResponseFail -> fail(charge,$1)
Action: $1.requestGarage($2,$3) -> request(garage,$1,$2)
Action: $1:$2.garageResponseOK -> response(garage, $2, $1)
Action: $1:$2.garageResponseFail -> fail(garage,$2,$1)
Action: $1:$2.revokeGarage -> revoke(garage,$1,$2)
Action: $1:$2.requestRentCar -> request (rentalCar, $1, $2)
Action: $1:$2.rentResponseOK-> response(rentalCar,$2,$1)
Action: $1:$2.rentResponseFail-> fail(rentalCar,$2,$1)
State: inState(car1.Orchestartor.ol) -> accepting_request(engineFailure)
}
```